

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,098	10/03/2003	Kuo-Chi Tu	TSM03-0188	2276
43859	7590 09/16/2004		EXAMINER	
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. C/O SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P. 17950 PRESTON ROAD, SUITE 1000			NGO, NGAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DALLAS, TX 75252 2814			
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W				
	10/679,098	TU, KUO-CHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ngan Ngo	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	is				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th 11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge				
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-9 and 19, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 296.

II. Claims 10-18, drawn to a process for making a semiconductor device, classified in Class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different than those of the group II invention. For example, the device in claim 1 can be formed without the step of "removing excess portions of the second conducting material from a top surface of the structure" as required by claim 10.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (571) 272-1711. The fax number for the Art unit is (703) 308-7722.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,098 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

September 13, 2004